

**ReliabilityFirst Planning Resource Adequacy Analysis, Assessment and Documentation (BAL-502-RFC-02) –
Five Year Review Comment Period - 02/29/16 – 03/09/16**

Question	Do you believe the Standard should be reaffirmed (which would include a process to respond to FERC directives), revised or retired? If you believe the standard should be revised or retired, please provide specific reasons you believe the standard should be revised or retired.
-----------------	--

Consideration of Comments	All commenters agreed with be reaffirming the BAL-502-RFC-02 Standard which includes a process to respond to FERC directives.
----------------------------------	---

Answers	Frequency
Reaffirm	6
Revise	0
Retire	0

Commenter	Company	Answer	Comment
Joe O'Brien	NIPSCO	Reaffirm	Reaffirm, however would defer to our PC (MISO)
Jeffery Beattie	Consumers Energy	Reaffirm	
Terry Bilke	MISO	Reaffirm	
Mark Holman	PJM	Reaffirm	
Chris Scanlon	Exelon	Reaffirm	Exelon believes that the Standard is appropriate as is, with the limited exception of addressing the two directives that FERC noted in its Order 747 for initial approval.
Brenda Truhe	PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	Reaffirm	