	502-RF ₋ -0 <u>3</u>	$\overline{}$	
		/	Deleted: 2
Introduction	ı		Deleted: 12/04/08
1. Title:	Planning Resource Adequacy Analysis, Assessment and Documentation		
2. Number:	BAL-502-RF-03		Deleted: C
3. Purpose:	To establish common criteria, based on "one day in ten year" loss of Load		Deleted: 2
expectation	principles, for the analysis, assessment and documentation of Resource Adequacy for ReliabilityFirst Corporation (RF) region		Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.15", Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned 0" + Tab after: 0.4" + Indent at: 0.4", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"
4. Applicabili	ity		Deleted: ¶
4.1 Fu	nctional Entities		Formatted: Font: Not Italic
4.	1.1 Planning Coordinator.	///	Deleted: C
5. Effective I		1///	Deleted: ¶
		1//	Deleted: Planning Coordinator
is after the	AL-502-RF-03 shall become effective on the first day of the first calendar quarter that date that this standard is approved by applicable regulatory authorities or as otherwise or in a jurisdiction where approval by an applicable governmental authority is required		Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1" + Tab after: 1.5" + Indent at: 1.5"
	ard to go into effect,	_ \	Deleted: ¶
. Requiremen	its and Measures		Deleted: Upon RFC Board approval ¶
	The Resource Adequacy analysis shall [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Long-term Planning]: alculate a planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities		Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Auto Deleted: R
1.1 Contains for an arms.	alculate a planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities or loss of Load for the integrated peak hour for all days of each planning year nalyzed (per R1.2) being equal to 0.1. (This is comparable to a "one day in 10"		Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Auto Deleted: R
1.1 Confidence of the confiden	alculate a planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities or loss of Load for the integrated peak hour for all days of each planning year ¹		
1.1 C fo ar ye 1.	alculate a planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities or loss of Load for the integrated peak hour for all days of each planning year all nalyzed (per R1.2) being equal to 0.1. (This is comparable to a "one day in 10 ear" criterion). 1.1 The utilization of Direct Control Load Management or curtailment of Interruptible Demand shall not contribute to the loss of Load		Deleted: R
1.1 C. fo ar ye	alculate a planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities or loss of Load for the integrated peak hour for all days of each planning year ladyzed (per R1.2) being equal to 0.1. (This is comparable to a "one day in 10 ear" criterion). 1.1 The utilization of Direct Control Load Management or curtailment of Interruptible Demand shall not contribute to the loss of Load probability. 1.2 The planning reserve margin developed from R1.1 shall be expressed as a percentage of the median forecast peak Net Internal Demand		Deleted: R Deleted: R
1.1 C. fo ar ye 1.2 L. 1.2 Bo	alculate a planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities or loss of Load for the integrated peak hour for all days of each planning year ladyzed (per R1.2) being equal to 0.1. (This is comparable to a "one day in 10 ear" criterion). 1.1 The utilization of Direct Control Load Management or curtailment of Interruptible Demand shall not contribute to the loss of Load probability. 1.2 The planning reserve margin developed from R1.1 shall be expressed as a percentage of the median ² forecast peak Net Internal Demand (planning reserve margin). e performed or verified separately for each of the following planning years: over which the LOLE is measured, and the resulting resource requirements are established (June		Deleted: R Deleted: R Deleted: R Deleted: R
1.1 C. fo ar ye 1.2 L. 1.2 Be The annual period through the folloon the median forecase.	alculate a planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities or loss of Load for the integrated peak hour for all days of each planning year analyzed (per R1.2) being equal to 0.1. (This is comparable to a "one day in 10 ear" criterion). 1.1 The utilization of Direct Control Load Management or curtailment of Interruptible Demand shall not contribute to the loss of Load probability. 1.2 The planning reserve margin developed from R1.1 shall be expressed as a percentage of the median ² forecast peak Net Internal Demand (planning reserve margin). 1.2 e performed or verified separately for each of the following planning years: 1.3 over which the LOLE is measured, and the resulting resource requirements are established (June wing May 31st). 1.4 is expected to have a 50% probability of being too high and 50% probability of being too low		Deleted: R Deleted: R Deleted: R

Standard BA	L-502-l	RF ₋ 0 <u>3</u>	_	Deleted: C
				Deleted: 2
	1.2.1	Perform an analysis for Year One.		Deleted: 12/04/08
		·		Deleted: R
	1.2.2	Perform an analysis or verification at a minimum for one year in the 2 through 5 year period and at a minimum one year in the 6 though 10 year period.		Deleted: R
		1.2.2.1 If the analysis is verified, the verification must be supported by current or past studies for the same		Deleted: R
		planning year.		
1.3	Include	e the following subject matter and documentation of its use:		Deleted: R
	1.3.1	Load forecast characteristics:		Deleted: R
		1.3.1.1 Median (50:50) forecast peak Load.	—	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", No bullets or numbering
		1.3.1.2 Load forecast uncertainty (reflects variability in the Load forecast due to weather and regional economic forecasts).	-	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5", No bullets or numbering
		1.3.1.3 Load diversity.		
		1.3.1.4 Seasonal Load variations.		
		1.3.1.5 Daily demand modeling assumptions (firm, interruptible).		
		1.3.1.6 Contractual arrangements concerning curtailable/Interruptible Demand.	•	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5"
	1.3.2	Resource characteristics:		Deleted: R
		1.3.2.1 Historic resource performance and any projected changes		Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", No bullets or numbering
		1.3.2.2 Seasonal resource ratings		Tornated. Indent. Eart. 1.5 , No builds of humbering
		1.3.2.3 Modeling assumptions of firm capacity purchases from and sales to entities outside the Planning Coordinator area.	-	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5"
		1.3.2.4 Resource planned outage schedules, deratings, and retirements.		
		1.3.2.5 Modeling assumptions of intermittent and energy limited resource such as wind and cogeneration.	-	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5"
		1.3.2.6 Criteria for including planned resource additions in the analysis	-	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", First line: 0.5"
	1.3.3	Transmission limitations that prevent the delivery of generation reserves		Deleted: R
		1.3.3.1 Criteria for including planned Transmission Facility		Deleted: R
		additions in the analysis		
			/	Deleted: December 4th
			//	Deleted: 08

lanuaru D	AL-502-RF ₋ 0 <u>3</u>	_	Deleted: C
			Deleted: 2
	1.3.4 Assistance from other interconnected systems including multi-area assessment		Deleted: 12/04/08
	considering Transmission limitations into the study area.		Deleted: R
1.4	Consider the following resource availability characteristics and document how		Deleted: R
	and why they were included in the analysis or why they were not included:		
	1.4.1 Availability and deliverability of fuel.	•	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", No bullets or numbering
	1.4.2 Common mode outages that affect resource availability		
	1.4.3 Environmental or regulatory restrictions of resource availability.		
	1.4.4 Any other demand (Load) response programs not included in R1.3.1.		
	1.4.5 Sensitivity to resource outage rates.		
	1.4.6 Impacts of extreme weather/drought conditions that affect unit availability.	-	Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.5"
	<u>1.4.7</u> Modeling assumptions for emergency operation procedures used to make reserves available.		
	1.4.8 Market resources not committed to serving Load (uncommitted resources) within the Planning Coordinator area.		
1.5	Consider Transmission maintenance outage schedules and document how and why they were included in the Resource Adequacy analysis or why they were not		Deleted: R
1.6	Document that capacity resources are appropriately accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis		Deleted: R
1.7	Document that all Load in the Planning Coordinator area is accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis		Deleted: R
		•	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"
	Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy sis was performed or verified in accordance with R1		
		_	Deleted:
for ea	Planning Coordinator shall annually document the projected Load and resource capability, ach area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis ation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning].		Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"
2.1	This documentation shall cover each of the years in Year One through ten.		Deleted: R
2.2	This documentation shall include the Planning Reserve margin calculated per requirement R1.1 for each of the three years in the analysis.		Deleted: R
			Deleted: 08
nnroved: v	xx, 2016, Page 3 of 10		

Standard BAL-502-RF-03

The documentation as specified per requirement R2.1 and R2.2 shall be publicly posted no later than 30 calendar days prior to the beginning of Year One.

M2 Each Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation of its projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis on an annual basis in accordance with R2.

R3 The Planning Coordinator shall identify any gaps between the needed amount of planning reserves defined in Requirement R1, Part 1.1 and the projected planning reserves documented in Requirement R2 [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning].

M3 Each Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation identifying any gaps between the needed amounts of planning reserves and projected planning reserves in accordance with R3.

C. Compliance

5. Compliance Monitoring Process

5.1. Compliance Monitoring Authority,

As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, "Compliance Enforcement Authority" means NERC or the Regional Entity in their respective roles of monitoring and enforcing compliance with the NERC Reliability Standards.

5.2. Evidence Retention

The following evidence retention periods identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full time period since the last audit.

The Applicable Entity shall keep data or evidence to show compliance with Requirements

R1 through R3, and Measures M1 through M3 from the most current and prior two years.

If an Applicable Entity is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until mitigation is complete and approved, or for the time specified above, whichever is longer.

The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records, $_{\blacktriangledown}$

5.3. Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes

Compliance Audit

Self-Certification

Spot Checking

Compliance Investigation

Self-Reporting

Complaint

5.4. Additional Compliance Information

None

Deleted: C

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 12/04/08

Deleted: R

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted:

Measures¶

M1 - Each Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy analysis was performed or verified in accordance with R1¶

 $\hat{\mathbf{M}2}$. Each Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation of its projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained subarea identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis on an annual basis in accordance with R2. \P

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", No bullets or numbering

Deleted: Responsibility

Deleted: Compliance Monitor - ReliabilityFirst Corporation

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

¶

Deleted: <#>Data

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Deleted: .

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.88"

Deleted: Planning Coordinator

Deleted: retain information

Deleted:

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.85", No bullets or numbering

Deleted: The Compliance Monitor shall retain any audit data for five years.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.5" + Tab after: 0.85" + Indent at: 0.85"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.85", No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.85"

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.5" + Tab after: 0.85" + Indent at: 0.85"

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.85"

Deleted: December 4th

Deleted: 0

Standard	I BAL-502-RF-03					Delete	d: C
-				<u> </u>		Delete	d: 2
Table of (Compliance Floments		Delete	d: 12/04/08			
Table of C	Table of Compliance Elements,						d: Violation Severity Levels¶
<u>R #</u>	<u>Time Horizon</u>	<u>VRF</u>		VIOLATION SE	VERITY LEVEL	Forma	tted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0"
IX II			Lower VSL,	Moderate VSL	<u>High VSL</u>	Forma	tted: Width: 14.29", Height: 8.5"
R1	Long-term Planning	Medium	The Planning	The Planning	The Planning	The Pla Forma	
			Coordinator Resource	Coordinator Resource	Coordinator Resource	Coordi Delete	d: Req. Number
			Adequacy analysis failed	Adequacy analysis	Adequacy analysis	perform Delete	d: LOWER
			to consider 1 or 2 of the	failed to express the	failed to be performed	Resour	d: MODERATE
			Resource availability	planning reserve margin	or verified separately	analysi	d: HIGH
			characteristics	developed from	for individual years of	K1.	·
			subcomponents under Requirement R1, Part	Requirement R1, Part	Year One through Year	\>	d: SEVERE
			1.4 and documentation	1.1 as a percentage of the net Median forecast	Ten per Requirement R1, Part 1.2	OR Delete	<u> </u>
			of how and why they	peak Load per	KI, Fall J.2	Delete	d: R
			were included in the	Requirement R1. Part		Delete	
			analysis or why they	1.1.2	OR	The Pla Delete	d: R
			were not included			Coordi Delete	d: R
						Adequacy ana	
				OR	The Planning	failed to calcu	
			OR		Coordinator failed to	Planning reser	8
				m pi	perform an analysis or	that will resul	
			The Discoving	The Planning	verification for one year	sum of the pro	
			The Planning Coordinator Resource	Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis	in the 2 through 5 year period or one year in the	for loss of Loa integrated pea	
			Adequacy analysis failed	failed to include 1 of the	6 though 10 year period	all days of eac	
			to consider Transmission	Load forecast	or both per Requirement	planning year	
			maintenance outage	Characteristics	R1, Part 1.2.2	for eac Delete	
			schedules and document	subcomponents under		being equal to	
			how and why they were	Requirement R1, Part		Requirement 1	
			included in the analysis	1.3.1 and	OR	1.1 Delete	d: R
			or why they were not	documentation of its use		Delete	d: R
			included per		TI DI '		
			Requirement R1, Part	OD	The Planning	OR	
			1.5	OR	Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis	Delete	d: R
					failed to include 2 or	Delete	d: December 4 th
			1	1	ranca to include 2 01	Delete	d: 08

tandard BAL-502-RF <mark>-03</mark> ,	₹		$\overline{}$	Deleted: C
				Deleted: 2
	The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include 1 of the Resource Characteristics subcomponents under Requirement R1, Part 1.3.2 and documentation of its us	J.3.1 and documentation of their use	Coord perfor Year (Requi	Deleted: 12/04/08 Inning Inator failed to m an analysis for One per Deleted: R Deleted: R Deleted: R
	Or The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to document that all Load in the Planning Coordinator area is accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis per Requirement R1, Part J.7			Deleted: R
	4. 1	The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include Transmission limitations and documentation of its use		
Approved: <u>xx xx</u> , 20 <u>16</u>	Page 6 of 10			Deleted: 08

Standard BAL-502-RF	-0 <u>3</u> ,	<u>*</u>	Deleted: C
			Deleted: 2
		per Requirement R1,	Deleted: 12/04/08
		Part_1.3.3	Deleted: R
		OR	
		The Planning	
		Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis	
		failed to include	
		assistance from other	
		interconnected systems	
		and documentation of	
		its use per Requirement	
		R1, Part 1.3.4	Deleted: R
		OR	
		The Planning	
		Coordinator Resource	
		Adequacy analysis	
		Adequacy analysis failed to consider 3 or	
		more Resource	
		availability	
		characteristics	
		subcomponents under	
		Requirement R1, Part 1.4 and documentation	Deleted: R
		of how and why they	Deleted. R
		were included in the	
		analysis or why they	
		were not included	Deleted: December 4th
			Deleted: 08
			Deleted. 06
Approved: <u>xx xx</u> , 20 <u>16</u>	Page 7	of 10	

dard BAL-502-RF <mark>-03</mark>			▼		_	Deleted: C		
						Deleted: 2		
						Deleted: 12/04/08		
				OR				
				The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to document that capacity resources are appropriately accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis per Requirement R1, Part J.6		Deleted: R		
Long-term Planning	Lower	The Planning Coordinator failed to publicly post the documents as specified per requirement Requirement R2, Part 2.1 and Requirement R2, Part 2.2 later than 30 calendar days prior to the beginning of Year One per Requirement R2, Part 2.3	The Planning Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis for one of the years in the 2 through 10 year period per Requirement R2, Part 2.1.	The Planning Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis for year 1 of the 10 year period per Requirement R2, Part 2.1.	docum Load a capabil or Trar constra identifi Resour analysi	nator failed to ent the projected nd resource lity, for each area asmission Deleted: R Deleted: R Ce Adequacy s per Deleted: R Deleted: R Deleted: R		
			OR The Planning	OR The Planning Coordinator failed to		Deleted: R		
			Coordinator failed to document the Planning	document the projected Load and resource		Deleted: December 4th Deleted: 08		
roved: xx xx, 2016		Page 8 of 10						

rian iaan c	BAL-502-RF <mark>-03</mark>			▼		Deleted: C
						Deleted: 2
				Reserve margin calculated per requirement R1.1 for each of the three years in the analysis per Requirement R2, Part 2.2.	capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis for two or more of the years in the 2 through 10 year period per Requirement R2. Part 2.1.	
<u>R3</u>	Long-term Planning	Lower	None	None	None	The Planning Coordinator failed to identify any gaps between the needed amount of planning reserves and the projected planning reserves, per R3
						Deleted: December 4 th Deleted: 08

Standard BAL-502-RF-03 Deleted: C Deleted: 2 Deleted: 12/04/08 D. Regional Variances Deleted: None E. Interpretations. **Deleted: Interpretations** Deleted: None F. Associated Documents Deleted: Associated Documents None. Deleted: None Deleted: Definitions:¶ Resource Adequacy - the ability of supply-side and demand-side resources to meet the aggregate electrical demand (including losses).¶ **Version History** Net Internal Demand - Total of all end-use customer demand and electric system Version Date Action **Change Tracking** losses within specified metered boundaries, less Direct Control Load Management and Interruptible Demand.¶ BAL-502-RFC-02 12/04/08 ReliabilityFirst Board Approved Peak Period - A period consisting of two (2) or more calendar months but less NERC BoT Approved than seven (7) calendar months, which includes the period during which the responsible entity's annual peak demand is expected to occur¶ BAL-502-RFC-02 <u>03/17/11</u> FERC Approved Year One - The planning year that begins with the upcoming annual Peak Period. The following definitions were extracted from the February 12th, 2008 NERC Glossary of Terms:¶ Direct Control Load Management – Demand-Side Management that is under the direct control of the system operator. DCLM may control the electric supply to individual appliances or equipment on customer premises. DCLM as defined here does not include Interruptible Demand.¶ Facility - A set of electrical equipment that operates as a single Bulk Electric System Element (e.g., a line, a generator, a shunt compensator, transformer, etc.) Interruptible Demand - Demand that the end-use customer makes available to its Load-Serving Entity via contract or agreement for curtailment.¶ Load - An end-use device or customer that receives power from the electric system.¶ Deleted: 1st Draft **Deleted:** 06/24/08 Through 07/23/08 **Deleted:** Posted for 1st Comment Period Deleted: 2nd Draft **Deleted:** 08/18/08 Through 09/16/08 **Deleted:** Posted for 2nd Comment Period Deleted: 3rd Draft **Deleted:** 10/16/08 Through 10/30/08 Deleted: Posted for 15-Day Category Ballot Deleted: BAL-502-RFC-02 3rd Draft Deleted: 12/04/08 Deleted: ReliabilityFirst Board Approved Formatted: Font: Not Italic Deleted: December 4th Approved: xx xx, 2016 Page 10 of 10