A. Introduction

1. Title: Planning Resource Adequacy Analysis, Assessment and Documentation

2. Number: **BAL-502-RF-03**

3. Purpose: To establish common criteria, based on "one day in ten year" loss of Load expectation principles, for the analysis, assessment and documentation of Resource Adequacy for Load in the ReliabilityFirst Corporation (RF) region

4. Applicability

4.1 Functional Entities

4.1.1 Planning Coordinator

5. Effective Date:

5.1 BAL-502-RF-03 shall become effective on the first day of the first calendar quarter that is after the date that this standard is approved by applicable regulatory authorities or as otherwise provided for in a jurisdiction where approval by an applicable governmental authority is required for a standard to go into effect.

B. Requirements and Measures

- **R1** The Planning Coordinator shall perform and document a Resource Adequacy analysis annually. The Resource Adequacy analysis shall [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning]:
 - 1.1 Calculate a planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities for loss of Load for the integrated peak hour for all days of each planning year analyzed (per R1.2) being equal to 0.1. (This is comparable to a "one day in 10 year" criterion).
 - **1.1.1** The utilization of Direct Control Load Management or curtailment of Interruptible Demand shall not contribute to the loss of Load probability.
 - **1.1.2** The planning reserve margin developed from R1.1 shall be expressed as a percentage of the median² forecast peak Net Internal Demand (planning reserve margin).
 - **1.2** Be performed or verified separately for each of the following planning years:

¹ The annual period over which the LOLE is measured, and the resulting resource requirements are established (June 1st through the following May 31st).

² The median forecast is expected to have a 50% probability of being too high and 50% probability of being too low (50:50).

- **1.2.1** Perform an analysis for Year One.
- **1.2.2** Perform an analysis or verification at a minimum for one year in the 2 through 5 year period and at a minimum one year in the 6 though 10 year period.
 - **1.2.2.1** If the analysis is verified, the verification must be supported by current or past studies for the same planning year.
- 1.3 Include the following subject matter and documentation of its use:
 - **1.3.1** Load forecast characteristics:
 - 1.3.1.1 Median (50:50) forecast peak Load.
 - 1.3.1.2 Load forecast uncertainty (reflects variability in the Load forecast due to weather and regional economic forecasts).
 - 1.3.1.3 Load diversity.
 - 1.3.1.4 Seasonal Load variations.
 - 1.3.1.5 Daily demand modeling assumptions (firm, interruptible).
 - 1.3.1.6 Contractual arrangements concerning curtailable/Interruptible Demand.
 - **1.3.2** Resource characteristics:
 - 1.3.2.1 Historic resource performance and any projected changes
 - 1.3.2.2 Seasonal resource ratings
 - 1.3.2.3 Modeling assumptions of firm capacity purchases from and sales to entities outside the Planning Coordinator area.
 - 1.3.2.4 Resource planned outage schedules, deratings, and retirements.
 - 1.3.2.5 Modeling assumptions of intermittent and energy limited resource such as wind and cogeneration.
 - 1.3.2.6 Criteria for including planned resource additions in the analysis
 - **1.3.3** Transmission limitations that prevent the delivery of generation reserves
 - **1.3.3.1** Criteria for including planned Transmission Facility additions in the analysis

- **1.3.4** Assistance from other interconnected systems including multi-area assessment considering Transmission limitations into the study area.
- **1.4** Consider the following resource availability characteristics and document how and why they were included in the analysis or why they were not included:
 - 1.4.1 Availability and deliverability of fuel.
 - 1.4.2 Common mode outages that affect resource availability
 - 1.4.3 Environmental or regulatory restrictions of resource availability.
 - 1.4.4 Any other demand (Load) response programs not included in R1.3.1.
 - 1.4.5 Sensitivity to resource outage rates.
 - 1.4.6 Impacts of extreme weather/drought conditions that affect unit availability.
 - 1.4.7 Modeling assumptions for emergency operation procedures used to make reserves available.
 - 1.4.8 Market resources not committed to serving Load (uncommitted resources) within the Planning Coordinator area.
- 1.5 Consider Transmission maintenance outage schedules and document how and why they were included in the Resource Adequacy analysis or why they were not included
- **1.6** Document that capacity resources are appropriately accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis
- **1.7** Document that all Load in the Planning Coordinator area is accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis
- M1 Each Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy analysis was performed or verified in accordance with R1
- **R2** The Planning Coordinator shall annually document the projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning].
 - 2.1 This documentation shall cover each of the years in Year One through ten.
 - 2.2 This documentation shall include the Planning Reserve margin calculated per requirement R1.1 for each of the three years in the analysis.

- 2.3 The documentation as specified per requirement R2.1 and R2.2 shall be publicly posted no later than 30 calendar days prior to the beginning of Year One.
- **M2** Each Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation of its projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis on an annual basis in accordance with R2.
- **R3** The Planning Coordinator shall identify any gaps between the needed amount of planning reserves defined in Requirement R1, Part 1.1 and the projected planning reserves documented in Requirement R2 [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning].
- M3 Each Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation identifying any gaps between the needed amounts of planning reserves and projected planning reserves in accordance with R3.

C. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority

As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, "Compliance Enforcement Authority" means NERC or the Regional Entity in their respective roles of monitoring and enforcing compliance with the NERC Reliability Standards.

1.2. Evidence Retention

The following evidence retention periods identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full time period since the last audit.

The Applicable Entity shall keep data or evidence to show compliance with Requirements R1 through R3, and Measures M1 through M3 from the most current and prior two years.

If an Applicable Entity is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until mitigation is complete and approved, or for the time specified above, whichever is longer.

The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.

1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes

Compliance Audit
Self-Certification
Spot Checking
Compliance Investigation
Self-Reporting
Complaint

1.4. Additional Compliance Information

None

Table of Compliance Elements

| R # | Time Horizon | VRF | VIOLATION SEVERITY LEVEL | | | |
|-----|--------------------|--------|---|---|--|--|
| Kπ | | | Lower VSL | Moderate VSL | High VSL | Severe VSL |
| R1 | Long-term Planning | Medium | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to consider 1 or 2 of the Resource availability characteristics subcomponents under Requirement R1, Part 1.4 and documentation of how and why they were included in the analysis or why they | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to express the planning reserve margin developed from Requirement R1, Part 1.1 as a percentage of the net Median forecast peak Load per Requirement R1, Part 1.1.2 | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to be performed or verified separately for individual years of Year One through Year Ten per Requirement R1, Part 1.2 OR | The Planning Coordinator failed to perform and document a Resource Adequacy analysis annually per R1. OR The Planning |
| | | | OR The Planning | OR The Planning Coordinator Resource | The Planning Coordinator failed to perform an analysis or verification for one year in the 2 through 5 year | Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to calculate a Planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities for loss of Load for the |
| | | | Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to consider Transmission maintenance outage schedules and document how and why they were included in the analysis or why they were not included per | Adequacy analysis failed to include 1 of the Load forecast Characteristics subcomponents under Requirement R1, Part 1.3.1 and documentation of its use | period or one year in the 6 though 10 year period or both per Requirement R1, Part 1.2.2 | integrated peak hour for all days of each planning year analyzed for each planning period being equal to 0.1 per Requirement R1, Part 1.1 |
| | | | Requirement R1, Part 1.5 | OR | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include 2 or | OR |

| | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include 1 of the Resource Characteristics subcomponents under Requirement R1, Part 1.3.2 and documentation of its use | more of the Load forecast Characteristics subcomponents under Requirement R1, Part 1.3.1 and documentation of their use OR | The Planning Coordinator failed to perform an analysis for Year One per Requirement R1, Part 1.2.1 |
|--|---|---|--|
| | Or The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to document that all Load in the Planning Coordinator area is accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis per Requirement R1, Part 1.7 | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include 2 or more of the Resource Characteristics subcomponents under Requirement R1, Part 1.3.2 and documentation of their use OR | |
| | | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include Transmission limitations and documentation of its use | |

| | | per Requirement R1, Part 1.3.3 |
|--|--|---|
| | | OR |
| | | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include assistance from other interconnected systems |
| | | and documentation of its use per Requirement R1, Part 1.3.4 |
| | | OR |
| | | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to consider 3 or more Resource availability characteristics subcomponents under Requirement R1, Part 1.4 and documentation of how and why they were included in the analysis or why they |

| R2 | Long-term Planning | Lower | The Planning | The Planning | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to document that capacity resources are appropriately accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis per Requirement R1, Part 1.6 The Planning | The Planning |
|----|--------------------|-------|--|---|---|--|
| K2 | Long-term Framming | Lower | Coordinator failed to publicly post the documents as specified per requirement Requirement R2, Part 2.1 and Requirement R2, Part 2.2 later than 30 calendar days prior to the beginning of Year One per Requirement R2, Part 2.3 | Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis for one of the years in the 2 through 10 year period per Requirement R2, Part 2.1. | Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis for year 1 of the 10 year period per Requirement R2, Part 2.1. | Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis per Requirement R2, Part 2. |
| | | | | OR | OR | |
| | | | | The Planning Coordinator failed to document the Planning | The Planning Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource | |

| | | | | Reserve margin calculated per requirement R1.1 for each of the three years in the analysis per Requirement R2, Part 2.2. | capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis for two or more of the years in the 2 through 10 year period per Requirement R2, Part 2.1. | |
|----|--------------------|-------|------|--|--|---|
| R3 | Long-term Planning | Lower | None | None | None | The Planning Coordinator failed to identify any gaps between the needed amount of planning reserves and the projected planning reserves, per R3 |

D. Regional Variances

None

E. Interpretations

None

F. Associated Documents

None

Version History

| Version | Date | Action | Change Tracking |
|----------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| BAL-502-RFC-02 | 12/04/08 | ReliabilityFirst Board Approved | |
| BAL-502-RFC-02 | 08/05/09 | NERC BoT Approved | |
| BAL-502-RFC-02 | 03/17/11 | FERC Approved | |
| BAL-502-RFC-03 | 06/01/17 | ReliabilityFirst Board Approved | |