| Standard BAL- | 502- <mark>RF-03</mark> | | Deleted: RFC-02 |
|---|--|------------------------|---|
| | | | |
| A. Introductio | n | | Style Definition: Section |
| 1. Title: | Planning Resource Adequacy Analysis, Assessment and Documentation | \mathbb{N} | Style Definition: Requirement |
| 2. Number: | BAL-502- <u>RF-03</u> | $\langle \rangle$ | Style Definition: List Number: Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Alignec 0" + Tab after: 0.4" + Indent at: 0.4" |
| | To establish common criteria, based on "one day in ten year" loss of Load | (//) | Style Definition: Measure |
| | n principles, for the analysis, assessment and documentation of Resource Adequacy for ReliabilityFirst Corporation (RF) region | $ \rangle\rangle$ | Style Definition: Style1 |
| | | (1) | Deleted: RFC-02 |
| 4. Applicabil | | \mathbb{N} | Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.15", Outline numbered + Level: 1 + |
| | Inctional Entities .1.1 Planning Coordinator, | $\left \right\rangle$ | Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned 0" + Tab after: 0.4" + Indent at: 0.4", Tab stops: 1.25", Left |
| | | (1 /// | Deleted: ¶ |
| 5. Effective | | N 11 | Formatted: Font: Not Italic |
| | AL-502-RF-03 shall become effective on the first day of the first calendar quarter that | $M \parallel$ | Deleted: RFC |
| | a date that this standard is approved by applicable regulatory authorities or as otherwise for in a jurisdiction where approval by an applicable governmental authority is required | | Formatted: Font: Bold |
| | ard to go into effect. | | Deleted: ¶ |
| | nts and Measures | 11/1 | Formatted: Tab stops: 0.38", Left + Not at 0.5" |
| b. Requirement | | - / // | Formatted |
| | | - /// | Deleted: |
| | ning Coordinator shall perform and document a Resource Adequacy analysis | | Formatted: Font: Bold |
| | The Resource Adequacy analysis shall [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time | | Deleted: ¶ |
| <u>Horizon:</u> | Long-term Planning]: | | Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Tab stops: 0.44", Left + Not at 0.4 |
| | | \mathbf{i} | Deleted: 5.1 . Upon RFC Board approval ¶ |
| | Calculate a planning reserve margin that will result in the sum of the probabilities | | Townsetted Fort Hells Fortester Ants |
| | or loss of Load for the integrated peak hour for all days of each planning year ¹ | | Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Auto Deleted: R1 |
| | nalyzed (per R1.2) being equal to 0.1. (This is comparable to a "one day in 10 ear" criterion). | | |
| 1 | .1.1 The utilization of Direct Control Load Management or curtailment of | | Deleted: R1 |
| | Interruptible Demand shall not contribute to the loss of Load probability. | | |
| 1 | .1.2 The planning reserve margin developed from R1.1 shall be expressed as | | Deleted: R1 |
| | a percentage of the median ² forecast peak Net Internal Demand (planning reserve margin). | | |
| <u>1</u> .2 E | Be performed or verified separately for each of the following planning years: | | - Deleted: R1 |
| ¹ The annual period 1 st through the follo | l over which the LOLE is measured, and the resulting resource requirements are established (June owing May 31 st). ast is expected to have a 50% probability of being too high and 50% probability of being too low | | Deleted: R1 |

| tandard BA | L-502- | RF-03 | (| Deleted: RFC-02 |
|-------------|---|--|-----|--|
| | <u>1</u> .2.1 | Perform an analysis for Year One. | (| Deleted: R1 |
| | 1.2.2 | Perform an analysis or verification at a minimum for one year in the 2 | | Deleted: R1 |
| | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | through 5 year period and at a minimum one year in the 6 though 10 year period. | | |
| | | 1.2.2.1 If the analysis is verified, the verification must be | (| Deleted: R1 |
| | | supported by current or past studies for the same planning year. | | |
| <u>1</u> .3 | Includ | e the following subject matter and documentation of its use: | (| Deleted: R1 |
| | 1 .3.1 | Load forecast characteristics: | | Deleted: R1 |
| | | 1.3.1.1 Median (50:50) forecast peak Load. | | Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", No bullets or numbering |
| | | <u>1.3.1.2</u> Load forecast uncertainty (reflects variability in the Load forecast due to weather and regional economic forecasts). | •(| Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5", No bullets or numberin |
| | | 1.3.1.3 Load diversity. | | Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", No bullets or numbering |
| | | 1.3.1.4 Seasonal Load variations. | | |
| | | 1.3.1.5 Daily demand modeling assumptions (firm, interruptible). | | |
| | | <u>1.3.1.6</u> Contractual arrangements concerning curtailable/Interruptible Demand. | •(| Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5", No bullets or numberin |
| | <u>1</u> .3.2 | Resource characteristics: | (| Deleted: R1 |
| | | 1.3.2.1 Historic resource performance and any projected changes | • (| Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", No bullets or numbering |
| | | 1.3.2.2 Seasonal resource ratings | | |
| | | 1.3.2.3 Modeling assumptions of firm capacity purchases from and sales to entities outside the Planning Coordinator area. | •(| Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5", No bullets or numberin |
| | | 1.3.2.4 Resource planned outage schedules, deratings, and retirements. | •(| Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", No bullets or numbering |
| | | <u>1.3.2.5</u> Modeling assumptions of intermittent and energy limited resource such as wind and cogeneration. | •(| Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.5", Hanging: 0.5", No bullets or numberin Tab stops: Not at 2" |
| | | 1.3.2.6 Criteria for including planned resource additions in the analysis | | |
| | <u>1</u> .3.3 | Transmission limitations that prevent the delivery of generation reserves | (| Deleted: R1 |
| | | <u>1</u>.3.3.1 Criteria for including planned Transmission Facility additions in the analysis | (| Deleted: R1 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | Deleted: December 4 th , 2008 |

| | AL-502- <mark>RF-03</mark> | | Deleted: RFC-02 . 12 |
|--|---|---|--|
| | 1.3.4 Assistance from other interconnected systems including multi-area assessment | | District M |
| | considering Transmission limitations into the study area. | | Deleted: R1 |
| | | | |
| <u>1</u> .4 | Consider the following resource availability characteristics and document how and why they were included in the analysis or why they were not included: | | Deleted: R1 |
| | 1.4.1 Availability and deliverability of fuel. | • | Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", No bullets or numbering |
| | 1.4.2 Common mode outages that affect resource availability | | |
| | 1.4.3 Environmental or regulatory restrictions of resource availability. | | |
| | <u>1.4.4</u> Any other demand (Load) response programs not included in R1.3.1. | | |
| | | | |
| | <u>1.4.5</u> Sensitivity to resource outage rates. 1.4.6 Impacts of extreme weather/drought conditions that affect unit | | |
| | availability. | | Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.5", No bullets or numb |
| | <u>1.4.7</u> Modeling assumptions for emergency operation procedures used to make reserves available. | | |
| | 1.4.8 Market resources not committed to serving Load (uncommitted resources) within the Planning Coordinator area. | | |
| 1 .5 | Consider Transmission maintenance outage schedules and document how and | | Deleted: R1 |
| | why they were included in the Resource Adequacy analysis or why they were not included | | |
| | | | |
| <u>1</u> .6 | Document that capacity resources are appropriately accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis | | Deleted: R1 |
| | | | |
| 17 | Document that all Load in the Planning Coordinator area is accounted for in its | | Deleted: D1 |
| <u>1</u> .7 | Document that all Load in the Planning Coordinator area is accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis | | Deleted: R1 |
| <u>1</u> .7 | | ~ | Deleted: ¶ |
| M1 Each | | | |
| M1 Each | Resource Adequacy analysis Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy | | Deleted: 1 Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space |
| M1 Each analys R2 The P for ea | Resource Adequacy analysis Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy | | Deleted: 1 Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers Moved (insertion) [1] Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: |
| M1 Each analys R2 The P for ea | Resource Adequacy analysis Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy rsis was performed or verified in accordance with R1 Planning Coordinator shall annually document the projected Load and resource capability, ach area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis | | Deleted: ¶ Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust spa between Asian text and numbers Moved (insertion) [1] Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust spa |
| M1 Each analy: R2 The P for ea [Viold 2.1 | Resource Adequacy analysis Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy rsis was performed or verified in accordance with R1 Planning Coordinator shall annually document the projected Load and resource capability, ach area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis ation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning]. This documentation shall cover each of the years in Year One through ten. | | Deleted: ¶ Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers Moved (insertion) [1] Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers |
| M1 Each analys R2 The P for ea [Viold | Resource Adequacy analysis Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy rsis was performed or verified in accordance with R1 Planning Coordinator shall annually document the projected Load and resource capability, ach area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis ation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning]. | | Deleted: ¶ Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers Moved (insertion) [1] Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers |
| MI Each analy: R2 The P for ea [Viold 2.1 | Resource Adequacy analysis Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy vsis was performed or verified in accordance with R1 Planning Coordinator shall annually document the projected Load and resource capability, ach area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis ation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning]. This documentation shall cover each of the years in Year One through ten. This documentation shall include the Planning Reserve margin calculated per | | Deleted: ¶ Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers Moved (insertion) [1] Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.5", Space Before: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers |

| ndard BAL-502- <mark>RF-03</mark> | | | Deleted: RFC-02 |
|--|---|--------------|--|
| | ed per requirement R2.1 and R2.2 shall be publicly posted | | Deleted: R2 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25" |
| M2 Each Planning Coordinator shall poss | ess the documentation of its projected Load and resource | | Deleted: <#>Measures¶ |
| | on constrained sub-area identified in the Resource | | Moved up [1]: <#>¶ M1 . Each Planning Coordinator shall possess the documentation that a valid Resource Adequacy analysis was performed or verified in accordance with R ¶ |
| R3 The Planning Coordinator shall identif | y any gaps between the needed amount of planning | \backslash | Formatted: Font: Not Bold |
| | art 1.1 and the projected planning reserves documented in or: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning]. | | Formatted: Requirement, Indent: Left: 0.25", Hanging: 0.25", Tab stops: 0.63", Left |
| | ess the documentation identifying any gaps between the and projected planning reserves in accordance with R3. | | |
| 1. Compliance Monitoring Process | + | | Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.09" |
| 1.1. Compliance Enforcement Aut | hority | _ | Deleted: Monitoring Responsibility |
| • | of Procedure, "Compliance Enforcement Authority" means | | Deleted: Monitoring Responsionity Deleted: Compliance Monitor - ReliabilityFirst Corporation ¶ |
| | their respective roles of monitoring and enforcing | | Compliance Monitoring Period and Reset Timeframe |
| 1.2. Evidence Retention | * | | ¶ Data |
| | n periods identify the period of time an entity is required to | | Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.56", Hanging: 0.31" |
| retention period specified below Enforcement Authority may ask compliant for the full time perior The Applicable Entity shall kee | p data or evidence to show compliance with Requirements | | Deleted: The Planning Coordinator shall retain information from the most current and prior two - years.¶ ¶ The Compliance Monitor shall retain any audit data for five years.¶ ¶ Violation Severity Levels¶ -¶ |
| If an Applicable Entity is found | 11 through M3 from the most current and prior two years. non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non- mplete and approved, or for the time specified above. | | |
| The Compliance Enforcement A and submitted subsequent audit | uthority shall keep the last audit records and all requested records. | | |
| 1.3. Compliance Monitoring and A | ssessment Processes | | |
| Compliance Audit Self-Certification Spot Checking Compliance Investigation Self-Reporting Complaint | | | |
| 1.4. Additional Compliance Inform | nation | | Deleted D 1 4th 2000 |
| | | / | Deleted: December 4 th , 2008 |

| dard BAL-502- <mark>RF-03</mark> | | Deleted: RFC-02 | . 12/04/0 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--|-----------|
| News | | | |
| None | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | Deleted: December 4 th , 2008 | |
| oved: <u>xx xx, 2016</u> | Page 5 of 11 | | |

| # | Time Horizon | VRF | | VIOLATION SE | VERITY LEVEL | | Inserted Cells |
|----------|--------------------|--------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| <u>#</u> | <u> </u> | | Lower VSL | Moderate VSL | <u>High VSL</u> | | Inserted Cells |
| 1 | Long-term Planning | Medium | The Planning | The Planning | The Planning | T e PI | Deleted: Req. Number |
| | <u></u> | | Coordinator Resource | Coordinator Resource | Coordinator Resource | Coord | Formatted Table |
| | | | Adequacy analysis failed | Adequacy analysis | Adequacy analysis | perfor | Deleted: LOWER |
| | | | to consider 1 or 2 of the | failed to express the | failed to be performed | | Merged Cells |
| | | | Resource availability | planning reserve margin | or verified separately | analys | Deleted: MODERATE |
| | | | characteristics | developed from | for individual years of | R1. | Merged Cells |
| | | | subcomponents under | Requirement R1, Part | Year One through Year | | Deleted: HIGH |
| | | | Requirement R1, Part | <u>1</u> .1 as a percentage of | Ten per <u>Requirement</u> | | · |
| | | | 1.4 and documentation | the net Median forecast | <u>R1, Part 1</u> .2 | OR | Deleted: SEVERE |
| | | | of how and why they | peak Load per | | | Inserted Cells |
| | | | were included in the | Requirement R1, Part | OR | The PI | Inserted Cells |
| | | | analysis or why they were not included | <u>1</u> .1.2 | OK | Coord | |
| | | | were not included | | | Adequ | Inserted Cells |
| | | | | OR | The Planning | | Deleted: R1 |
| | | | OR | OK | Coordinator failed to | | Deleted: R1 |
| | | | | | perform an analysis or | that w | Deleted: R1 |
| | | | | The Planning | verification for one year | sum of | the probabilities |
| | | | The Planning | Coordinator Resource | in the 2 through 5 year | | s of Load for the |
| | | | Coordinator Resource | Adequacy analysis | period or one year in the | 0 | ated peak hour for |
| | | | Adequacy analysis failed | failed to include 1 of the | 6 though 10 year period | | rs of each |
| | | | to consider Transmission | Load forecast | or both per <u>Requirement</u> | | ng year analyzed |
| | | | maintenance outage | Characteristics | R1 <u>, Part 1</u> .2.2 | | ch planning period |
| | | | schedules and document | subcomponents under | | | equal to 0.1 per |
| | | | how and why they were | Requirement R1, Part | OR | ~~~ | Deleted: R1 |
| | | | included in the analysis or why they were not | 1.3.1 and documentation of its use | OK | <u>1</u> .ſ | Deleted: R1 |
| | | | included per | documentation of its use | | | |
| | | | Requirement R1, Part | | The Planning | OR | Deleted: R1 |
| | | | <u>1.5</u> | OR | Coordinator Resource | 0 | Deleted. M |
| | | | ± | | Adequacy analysis | | |
| | | | | | failed to include 2 or | | |

12/04/08

Deleted: RFC-02

Standard BAL-502-RF-03

| Standard BAL-502-RF-03 | | | Deleted: RFC-02 | 12/04/08 |
|------------------------|--|--|---|----------|
| | | | | |
| | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include 1 of the Resource Characteristics subcomponents under <u>Requirement R1, Part</u> <u>1</u> .3.2 and | more of the Load forecast Characteristics subcomponents under <u>Requirement R1, Part</u> <u>1</u> ,3.1 and documentation of their use | The Planning Coordinator failed to perform an analysis for Year O Deleted: R1 Requir Deleted: R1 1.2.1 Deleted: R1 | |
| | documentation of its use Or The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to document that all Load in the Planning Coordinator area is accounted for in its Resource Adequacy | OR The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include 2 or more of the Resource Characteristics subcomponents under <u>Requirement R1, Part</u> 1.3.2 and documentation of their use | Deleted: R1 | |
| | analysis per <u>Requirement R1, Part</u> <u>1</u> .7 | OR The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include Transmission limitations and documentation of its use | Deleted: RI | |

Approved: <u>xx xx, 2016</u>

Page 7 of 11

Deleted: December 4th, 2008

| ndard BAL-502- <mark>RF-03</mark> | | Deleted: RFC-02 | 12/04/0 |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---------|
| | | | |
| | per <u>Requirement R1,</u> Part 1.3.3 | Deleted: R1 | |
| | <u>1 att 1</u> .3.5 | | |
| | OR | | |
| | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to include assistance from other interconnected systems and documentation of its use per <u>Requirement</u> <u>R1, Part 1</u> .3.4 | Deleted: R1 | |
| | OR | | |
| | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to consider 3 or more Resource availability characteristics | | |
| | subcomponents under <u>Requirement R1, Part</u> <u>1</u> .4 and documentation | Deleted: R1 | |
| | of how and why they were included in the analysis or why they were not included | | |
| 1 | | Deleted: December 4 th , 2008 | |

| indard | BAL-502- <mark>RF-03</mark> | | | | | Deleted: RFC-02 . | 12/04 |
|--------|-----------------------------|-------|--|---|--|--|-------|
| | | | | | OR | | |
| | | | | | The Planning Coordinator Resource Adequacy analysis failed to document that capacity resources are appropriately accounted for in its Resource Adequacy analysis per <u>Requirement R1, Part</u> <u>1.6</u> | | |
| R2 | Long-term Planning | Lower | The Planning Coordinator failed to publicly post the documents as specified per requirement <u>Requirement R2, Part</u> 2.1 and <u>Requirement R2, Part 2</u> .2 later than 30 | The Planning Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the | The Planning Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the | The Planning Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource capability, for each area or Tran Deleted: R2 constra Formatted: Font color: Black identified in the | |
| | | | calendar days prior to the beginning of Year One per <u>Requirement</u> <u>R2, Part 2</u> .3 | Resource Adequacy analysis for one of the years in the 2 through 10 year period per <u>Requirement R2, Part</u> | Resource Adequacy analysis for year 1 of the 10 year period per <u>Requirement R2, Part</u> 2.1. | Resource Adequacy analysis per Require Deleted: R2 Formatted: Font color: Black Deleted: R2 | |
| | | | | <u>2</u> .1. OR | OR | Deleted: R2 | |
| | | | | The Planning Coordinator failed to document the Planning | The Planning Coordinator failed to document the projected Load and resource | Deleted: December 4 ⁴ , 2008 | |
| roved | <u>xx xx, 2016</u> | | Page 9 of 11 | | | | |

| andard | I BAL-502- <mark>RF-03</mark> | | | | | | Deleted: RFC-02 . 12/0 |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-------|---------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | Reserve margin calculated per requirement R1.1 for each of the three years in the analysis per <u>Requirement R2, Part</u> <u>2</u> .2. | capability, for each area or Transmission constrained sub-area identified in the Resource Adequacy analysis for two or more of the years in the 2 through 10 year period per <u>Requirement R2</u> , Part 2.1. | | Deleted: R2 Formatted: Font color: Black Deleted: ¶ ¶ ¶ Definitions:¶ Resource Adequacy - the ability of supply-side and demand-side |
| <u>R3</u> | Long-term Planning | Lower | None | None | None | The Pla Coordii identify betwee amount reserve project reserve | Net internal Demain 4 - Total of an end-use costoned demain and electric system losses within specifical metered boundaries, less Dir Control Load Management and Interruptible Demand.¶ Peak Period - A period consisting of two (2) or more calendar mon but less than seven (7) calendar months, which includes the period of which the responsible entity's annual peak demand is expected to or ¶ Year One - The planning year that begins with the upcoming annual peak demander of the planning set of the period of the period of the period of the planning set of the period of the per |
| | V | | | | | | ¶ The following definitions were extracted from the February 12 ^a 2008 NERC Glossary of Terms:¶ |
| | | | | | | | Direct Control Load Management – Demand-Side Management tunder the direct control of the system operator. DCLM may control electric supply to individual appliances or equipment on customer premises. DCLM as defined here does not include Interruptible Der 1 Facility - A set of electrical equipment that operates as a single Bul Electric System Element (e.g., a line, a generator, a shunt compensatiransformer, etc.) |
| | | | | | | | Interruptible Demand - Demand that the end-use customer makes available to its Load-Serving Entity via contract or agreement for curtailment.¶ ¶Load - An end-use device or customer that receives power from the electric system.¶ Transmission - An interconnected group of lines and associated equipment for the movement or transfer of electric energy between of supply and points at which it is transformed for delivery to custo or is delivered to other electric systems.¶ |
| | | | | | | | Deleted: December 4 th , 2008 |
| | xx xx, 2016 | | Page 10 of 11 | | | | |

| tandard BAL-50 | 2- <u>KF-03</u> | | | - Deleted: RFC-02 |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| . Regional Varian | <u>nces</u> | | | - Formatted: Right: 4.29", Width: 11.79" |
| Interpretations None Associated Doct None | <u>uments</u> | | | |
| Version History | Date | Action | Change Tracking | Formatted Table |
| | | ReliabilityFirst Board Approved | gg- | Deleted: 1 ⁴ Draft |
| BAL-502-RFC-02 | | | | |
| BAL-502-RFC-02 | | NERC BoT Approved | | Deleted: 06/24/08 Through 07/23 |
| BAL-502-RFC-02 | 03/17/11 | FERC Approved | | Moved (insertion) [2] |
| | • | • | | Formatted: Font: Not Italic |
| | | | | Deleted: Posted for 1st Comment Period |
| | | | | Deleted: 2 nd Draft |
| | | | | Deleted: 18/08 Through |
| | | | | Deleted: /16/08 |
| | | | W/// | Deleted: Posted for 2 nd Comment Period |
| | | | | Deleted: 3 rd Draft |
| | | | | Deleted: 10/16/08 Through 10/30/08 |
| | | | | Deleted: Posted for 15-Day Category Ballot |
| | | | | Deleted: BAL-502-RFC-02 3 rd Draft |
| | | | | Deleted: 12/04/08 |
| | | | | Moved up [2]: ReliabilityFirst Board Approved |
| | | | | Formatted: Font: Not Italic |
| | | | | Deleted: December 4 th , 2008 |