



RELIABILITY FIRST

**Draft Planning Resource Adequacy Analysis, Assessment and Documentation
(BAL-502-RF-03) 1st 30-Day Comment Posting Questions**

1. [OPTION 1] - Do you agree that the modifications made to the BAL-502-RF-03 draft standard are consistent with the scope of the BAL-502-RF-03 Standard Authorization Request (SAR)? If not, please provide specific comments why you do not agree that the BAL-502-RF-03 draft standard is consistent with the scope of the BAL-502-RF-03 SAR.
2. [OPTION 2a] Do you agree with the newly added Time Horizons for each Requirement? If not, please provide specific comments why you do not agree the newly designated Time Horizons.
3. [OPTION 2b] Do you agree with the non-substantive changes made throughout the standard? If not, please provide specific comments why you do not agree with the non-substantive changes made throughout the standard.
4. [OPTION 2c] Do you agree that the newly added Requirement R3 is responsive to the Directive noted in FERC Order No 747, to include a requirement requiring the Planning Coordinator to identify any gap between the needed amount of planning reserves defined in Requirement R1.1 and the planning reserves determined from the resource adequacy analysis? If not, please provide specific comments why you do not agree the newly added Requirement R3 is responsive to the FERC Directive
5. Do you agree with the newly included Measure M3? If not, please provide specific comments why you do not agree with the newly included Measure M3.
6. Do you agree with the newly included Violation Severity Levels (VSLs) for Requirement R3? If not, please provide specific comments why you do not agree with the newly included VSLs for Requirement R3.
7. Do you agree with the BAL-502-RF-03 Implementation Plan? If not, please provide specific comments why you do not agree with the Implementation Plan.

NOTE: This is posted for informational purposes only. Please supply all comments via the ReliabilityFirst site located at: [123](#)