

## BAL-502-RFC-02 Questions for 2<sup>nd</sup> 30-Day Comment Posting

1. Based on industry comments and a supplemental SAR approved by the RFC Standards Committee on 08/11/08, the Applicability section and subsequent Requirements have been modified to include the Planning Coordinator and remove the LSE (along with references to the PRSG). Do you agree with the change in Applicability section of this proposed standard? If no, provide specific suggestions that would make the Applicability section acceptable to you.
2. Do you agree with the Requirements of this proposed standard? If no, provide specific suggestions that would make the requirements acceptable to you.
3. Do you agree with the Measures of this proposed standard? If no, provide specific suggestions that would make the requirements acceptable to you.
4. Do you agree with the Violation Risk Factors of this proposed standard? If no, provide specific suggestions that would make the requirements acceptable to you.
5. Do you agree with the Violation Severity Levels of this proposed standard? If no, provide specific suggestions that would make the requirements acceptable to you.
6. Do you agree with the Implementation Plan of this proposed standard? If no, provide specific suggestions that would make the requirements acceptable to you.
7. Do you agree that this standard is ready for Ballot? If no, provide specific suggestions that would make it acceptable to you.

**NOTE:** This is posted for informational purposes only. Please supply all comments via the ReliabilityFirst RSVP site located at: [http://rfc.rsvp.midwestreliability.org/rfc\\_rsvp/action/PubMainAction?type=Init](http://rfc.rsvp.midwestreliability.org/rfc_rsvp/action/PubMainAction?type=Init).