

ReliabilityFirst Standards Committee Agenda 05/10/2024 1:00 – 2:00 ET (12:00 – 1:00 CT)

Microsoft Teams Need help?

Join the meeting now

Meeting ID: 212 950 860 214

Passcode: Rbwm59

Dial-in by phone

+1 469-850-4379,,164885447# United States, Dallas

Find a local number

Phone conference ID: 164 885 447#

- 1. Welcome, Attendance and Introductions
- 2. Review Anti-Trust Statement
- 3. Approve April 25, 2024 Draft Minutes
- 4. Additional Discussion on BAL-502-RF-03 Standard
- 5. RF SC Vote on BAL-502-RF-03 Board Five-Year Review Recommendation
 - a. Option 1 Reaffirm
 - i. SC to follow and review NERC Project 2022-03 effort at least every six months. If at some point the SC believes the NERC Project is significant lacking in an area, consideration for a Standards Authorization Request (SAR) can be explored.
 - b. Option 2 Revise
 - SC to develop an initial SAR indicating the scope of the revisions. This will
 initiate the formal RF Regional Standards Process effort to revise the BAL-502-RF03 Standard.
 - c. Option 3 Retire
 - SC to draft an initial SAR indicating the rational for retirement. This will lead to a formal RF Regional Standards Process effort to retire the BAL-502-RF-03 Standard.
- 6. Action Items
- 7. Future Meetings

Parking Lot
1. Review proposed changes to RF Standards Committee Charter Document



Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

It is the policy of ReliabilityFirst to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. It is the responsibility of every ReliabilityFirst participant to adhere to ReliabilityFirst's Antitrust Compliance Guidelines, a copy of which is available on ReliabilityFirst's website. If there are any questions, please contact me. Please also be advised that this meeting is public, and that the notice of this meeting was posted on the ReliabilityFirst website and publicly announced. Participants should keep in mind that the listening audience may include members of the press and representatives of various governmental authorities, in addition to the expected participation of industry stakeholders.

ReliabilityFirst Standards Committee Minutes 04/25/2024 12:00 – 1:00 ET (11:00 – 12:00 CT)

Microsoft Teams Need help?

Join the meeting now

Meeting ID: 290 192 803 390

Passcode: CWdevM

Dial-in by phone

+1 469-850-4379,,393799783# United States, Dallas

Find a local number

Phone conference ID: 393 799 783#

Member	Company	Sector	Term (Years)
Tim Kucey*	PSEG	Transmission	3.0 - 06/20/26
Ryan Kelley (Vice-Chair)*	Duke	Transmission	1.5 – 12/20/24
Ryan Strom*	Buckeye Power	Small LSE	3.0 – 06/20/26
Vacant	Vacant	Small LSE	1.5 – 12/20/24
Rick Blumenstock*	Consumers	Medium LSE	3.0 - 06/20/26
Vacant	Vacant	Medium LSE	1.5 – 12/20/24
Beverly Laios*	AEP	Large LSE	3.0 - 06/20/26
Dan Gacek*	Exelon	Large LSE	1.5 – 12/20/24
Nick Poluch (Chair)*	Talen	Supplier	3.0 – 06/20/26
Vacant	Vacant	Supplier	1.5 – 12/20/24
Bobbi Welch*	MISO	RTO	3.0 – 06/20/26
Patricio Rocha Garrido*	РЈМ	RTO	1.5 – 12/20/24
Anthony Jablonski*	RF	SPM	
Don Lock*	Talen	Observer	
Johnny Gest	RF	Observer	
Tim Fryfogle*	RF	Observer	

^{*} Denotes in attendance

8. Welcome, Attendance and Introductions

a. Tony welcomed the team an attendance was taken. Tony reviewed the agenda items and noted a "Parking Lot" section was added for future activities.

9. Review Anti-Trust Statement

a. Tony reviewed the Anti-Trust Statement



10. Approve April 3, 2024 Draft Minutes

a. Motion: Approve April 3, 2024 Draft Minutes (as updated by Bobbi and Beverly)

b. Moved: Nick Poluchc. Second: Bobbi Welchd. Discussion: None

e. Vote: The April 3, 2024 draft minutes were approved by the SC.

11. Discuss NERC Project 2022-03 Planning SAR

- a. Don L. began the conversation and walked through his review of the NERC Project 2022-03 Planning SAR. Don noted the SAR convers a lot of the issues that we have been discussing but there are three main areas in which are unfavorable (but not "deadly"). They include 1) defined period of time to be studied, 2) CAPs are set by entities not Standard and 3) it states an intention to, "Account for uncertainty," but does not indicate any means by which this highly challenging goal can reliably be achieved.
- b. Tim K. noted that he believed the SAR covered the subject matter that would potentially be covered in a revised RF Standard and Patricio noted the NERC SAR covered materials which would be covered in a RF SAR (if we went that route and draft a RF SAR). He noted we should consider retirement of the RF standard if it is of no value any more. Tony noted retirement of any RF Standard needs a SAR and it goes through the full Standards Development Process.
- c. There was discussion around potentially focusing on coming up with a "RF Entity" feedback forum into the NERC Standards process and potentially setting up a 'Task Force' under the RF SC. It was noted that communication with the RF Entities will be key.
- d. Tony reminded the SC that the SC is not really the technical committee, rather the SC is to ensure the standard process is being upheld. The SC has the responsibility to provide the RF Board a recommendation of either "Reaffirm", "Retire" or "Revise". Tony reminded the SC that industry has already given feedback and the RF Standard has already gone out the Five-Year Review comment period in which six responders noted Reaffirm, three responders noted "Revise" and one responder noted "Retire".
- e. Bobbi also noted there is a NERC SC Executive Committee call on May 3rd in which they specifically plan on talking about Project 2022-03. Bobbi sent the meeting information to the SC members.

12. Discuss Next Steps

a. A list of potential options will be put together for discussion during the next SC call.

13. Action Items

a. Tony and Nick will touch base and put together a list of potential options

14. Future Meetings

a. Tony will request availability for the upcoming meeting

Parking Lot
2. Review proposed changes to RF Standards Committee Charter Document